

1  
2  
3  
4  
5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
6 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
7 AT SEATTLE

8 INGE T. ANDERSON,

9 Plaintiff,

10 v.

11 SCOTT ALAN ANDERSON,

12 Defendant.  
13

NO. C17-0891RSL


ORDER DENYING DEFENDANT'S  
MOTION TO COMPEL  
PLAINTIFF'S DEPOSITION

14 This matter comes before the Court on defendant's "Motion to Compel Plaintiff to Attend  
15 Properly Schedule[d] Deposition Under CR 37 and to Provide Requested Documents." Dkt.  
16 # 79. On November 28, 2018, the Court extended the discovery deadline in this matter because  
17 the parties had not been diligent and were "woefully unprepared to proceed to the merits of the  
18 case, mired as they are in discovery disputes." Dkt. # 63 at 2-3. The parties were ordered to meet  
19 and confer in order to find a mutually acceptable date for plaintiff's deposition, which was to  
20 occur on or before December 28, 2018. If a dispute arose, it was to be the subject of  
21 "meaningful, substantive discussions between the parties in an effort to resolve the dispute  
22 without Court intervention." If a discovery-related motion was deemed necessary, it had to be  
23 noted on the Court's calendar for consideration no later than Friday, January 25, 2019. Dkt. # 63  
24 at 3.  
25  
26

27 ORDER DENYING DEFENDANT'S MOTION  
28 TO COMPEL PLAINTIFF'S DEPOSITION - 1

1 Defendant's motion to compel plaintiff's deposition is untimely, and good cause has not  
2 been shown for an extension of either the deposition or discovery-related motion deadlines. The  
3 motion to compel is therefore DENIED.  
4

5  
6 Dated this 25th day of March, 2019.

7   
8 Robert S. Lasnik  
United States District Judge  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27